Procedure for conducting attestation in discipline «Sports medicine» for students of 2021 year of admission under the educational programme cipher 31.05.01 Medical business, specialisation (profile) Medical business (Specialist's degree), form of study full-time for the 2025-2026 academic year

1. General principles of rating calculation by discipline

The discipline rating is an individual assessment of the student's study of the discipline, which consists of the rating for the entire period of studying the discipline (preliminary rating) and the rating of intermediate certification.

- 2. Calculation компонентовоf preliminary rating components
- 2.1. General principles

The discipline is studied for one semester, so the preliminary rating for the discipline for the entire period of study (R Prev) is equal to the value of the semester rating of the discipline in the semester (Rsem):

$$Rprev = Rsem$$

The semester rating of the discipline is calculated using the formula:

$$Rcem = (Rtek + Rcro) / 2 + Rb - Rsh$$

where Rank is the current rating for the discipline, Rтек – текущий рейтинг по дисциплине, Rcro-rating of the student's independent work within the discipline, Rb-bonus rating,

Rus – penalty rating.

2.2. Calculation of the current rating in the semester

The current rating in the semester (Rtec) is calculated as the arithmetic average of all grades received by students during the semester of studying the discipline when performing tasks of current performance monitoring, which include the following types of tasks: preparation of presentations and/or essays in a small group or individually with the possibility of subsequent defense (presentation of a report), an interview on control questions.

The teacher evaluates the performance of tasks in each seminar-type class based on the criteria presented below (Table 1) on a classic 5-point scale, where:

- 2 unsatisfactory.
- 3 satisfactory.
- 4 good.
- 5 excellent.

Table 1
Criteria for the current certification forms used

Task type	Evaluation criteria	Evaluation criteria Rating on a 5-point scale				
		5	4	3	2	
Presentations	Technical assessment:     meeting the deadline for submission of work     meeting the requirements for registration	met	sufficiently met	partially met	not met	

	<ul><li>2. Content evaluation:</li><li>compliance</li><li>of the content with the</li></ul>	observed	sufficiently observed	partially observed	not observed
	of the content with the		observed	observed	
				00001.00	
	topic				
l l	<ul> <li>fact of disclosure of the</li> </ul>				
	topic				
	<ul> <li>reflection of all</li> </ul>				
	necessary elements of the				
	task in the work				
	<ul> <li>reflection of all</li> </ul>				
	necessary elements of the				
	task in the work				
	• compliance				
	of the text style with the				
	type of work				
	3. Evaluation of the	evaluation	evaluation	evaluation	disclosed
	student's analytical work:	criteria are	criteria fully	criteria	evaluation
	<ul> <li>adequacy of source</li> </ul>	fully	disclosed	sufficiently	criteria not
	selection	disclosed		disclosed	disclosed
	• level of analysis			evaluation	
	(deep/shallow)			criteria	
	<ul> <li>analytical tools and</li> </ul>			partially	
	presentation of				
	conclusions (including the				
	use of diagrams, examples,				
	illustrations, graphs, etc.)				
Reports,	1. Technical assessment	met	sufficiently met	partially met	not met
abstracts	• * compliance with the				
	performance rules				
	• compliance with the				
	requirements for the				
	elements of the				
	performance				
	2. Content assessment • *	-11		4: - 11	not observed
		observed	sufficiently	partially	not observed
	presence of structure and		observed	observed	
	logic of the report •				
	presence of links and				
	transitions between parts				
	of the report • disclosure				
	of the topic in the report				
	3. Aesthetic assessment	high level of	medium level of	of public	of public
	(assessment of public	development	development	speaking	speaking
	speaking skills) (if	of public		skills low	skills oratory
	required):	speaking		level of	skills are not
	• speech rate speech	skills		development	developed
	• volume			•	•
	<ul> <li>use of appropriate</li> </ul>				
	stylistics and vocabulary				
	4. Evaluation of the group	observed	sufficiently	partially	not observed
	report (if required):	55561 764	observed	observed	1101 00001 vod
<u> </u>	distribution of parts of		OOSCI VCU	ooser vea	
	the report among speakers				
	in terms of time and				
	content				
	• taking into account the				
l l	individual characteristics				
	of speakers when				
	distributing parts of the				
_	distributing parts of the	evaluation	evaluation	criteria	evaluation
_	distributing parts of the report among speakers	evaluation criteria fully	evaluation	criteria sufficiently	evaluation criteria not

	psychological readiness to answer     correct reasoning of answers * demeanor     Additionally , other students can ask the speaker questions (if applicable):     the question is aimed at obtaining information that was not explicitly reflected in the report     the question is not aimed at identifying information known to students     the question shows that the student analyzes the information greaters.	observed	sufficiently observed	evaluation criteria partially disclosed partially observed	not observed
Interview on	• Correct answer	correct	correct	partially	incorrect
control				correct	
questions	• Completeness of the answer	complete	sufficiently complete	incomplete	incomplete
	Structure and logic of	the response	mostly	poorly-	fragmented-
		structured,-	structured,	structured,	fragmented,
		ван,		broken,	chaotic,
		логичен		unstructured,	chaotic

At the end of the semester, Rtek is calculated and the calculated value is converted to a 100-point scale according to Table 3.

The absence of current debt is considered to be an R value of no more than 61 points.

### 2.3. Calculation of the student's independent work rating in the semester (Rsro)

The SRO rating in the semester corresponds to the student's assessment for completing the SRO electronic training course in this discipline on the electronic information and educational portal of the Volga State Medical University of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation. One semester of studying the discipline includes the implementation of one electronic training course SRO.

SRO assessment is carried out on the basis of the criteria presented below (Table 2) on a classical 5-point scale, where:

- 2 unsatisfactory.
- 3 satisfactory.
- 4 good.
- 5 excellent.

Criteria for evaluating SROs

Table 2

Тиі p zadaniI	Criterии і і оі	Assessment on a 5-point scale				
	o cenk Ii	5	4	3	2	
SRO in the form of an electronic course / course element on the	Compliance withpothe sro ks of work	performed observed	observed	observed	not observed	

EIOP of VolgSMU	• Completeness of the study of material that is not subject to evaluation (viewing presentations, videos)	fully	studied fully	studied fully	studied not fully
	• completed Average score of current tests and final test taking into account weight (current 1, final 3)	> 4,50	4,000 – 4,49,49	3,00 – 3,99	< 3,00

At the end of each study, the student's Rspo is calculated and its calculated value is converted to a 100-point scale according to Table 3.

The absence of current debt is considered to be an Rspo value of more than 61 points.

## 2.4. Conversion of the current rating and SRO rating to a 100-point rating system

At the end of the semester, the current rating and the student's SRO rating calculated in the 5-point system are converted to the 100-point system. The transfer is made according to Table 3.

Table 3
Conversion to a rating score based on the 100-point system

5-point system Average	score 100-point system	Average score 5- point system	Score 100-point system	Average score 5- point system	Score 100-point system	Average score 5- point system	Score 100-point system
Average score 5.00	100	3.45	70	2.48	40	2.09	10
4,95	99	3,40	69	2,46	39	2,08	9
4,90	98	3,35	68	2,44	38	2,07	8
4,85	97	3,30	67	2,42	37	2,06	7
4,80	96	3,25	66	2,40	36	2,05	6
4,75	95	3,20	65	2,38	35	2,04	5
4,70	94	3,15	64	2,36	34	2,03	4
4,65	93	3,10	63	2,34	33	2,02	3
4,60	92	3,05	62	2,32	32	2,01	2
4,5	91	3,00	61	2,30	31	2,00	1
4,47	90	2,98	60	2,29	30		
4,43	89	2,95	59	2,28	29		
4,40	88	2,93	58	2,27	28		
4,37	87	2,90	57	2,26	27		
4,33	86	2,88	56	2,25	26		
4,30	85	2,85	55	2,24	25		
4,27	84	2,83	54	2,23	24		

4,23	83	2,80	53	2,22	23	
4,20	82	2,78	52	2,21	22	
4,17	81	2,75	51	2,20	21	
4,13	80	2,73	50	2,19	20	
4,10	79	2,70	49	2,18	19	
4,07	78	2,68	48	2,17	18	
4,03	77	2,65	47	2,16	17	
4,00	76	2,63	46	2,15	16	
3,90	75	2,60	45	2,14	15	
3,80	74	2,58	44	2,13	14	
3,70	73	2,55	43	2,12	13	
3,60	72	2,53	42	2,11	12	
3,50	71	2,50	41	2,10	11	

## 2.5. Rating of bonuses and penalties

Bonuses and penalties are issued on a 100-point system. The criteria for bonuses and penalties are shown in table 4.

Table 4 Bonuses and penalties by discipline

Bonuses	Name	Scores
UIRS Points	Educational and research work on the topics of the subject under study	up to + 5.0
NIRS	RIRS Certificate, diploma, diploma, etc. of the participant of the department's INR	up to + 5.0
Fines	Name	Scores
	Skipping lectures or practical classes without a valid reason	-2.0
Disciplinary Points	Failure to complete tasks in practice classes	- 2.0
	Systematic lateness to lectures or practical classes	-1.0
	Violation of safety	regulations-2.0
Causing material damage	Damage to equipment and property	- 2.0

### 3. Calculation of the intermediate certification rating

Intermediate certification in the discipline is carried out in the form of a test, which is conducted in the form of testing in online mode on the portal elearning.volgmed.ru. The minimum number of points (*Rpa*) that can be obtained during testing is 61, and the maximum number is 100 points. The test taker gets 1 (one) point for a correctly completed task, and 0 (zero) points for an incorrectly completed task, for a total of 100 tasks. Evaluation of results after passing the test is carried out in accordance with Table 5.

points – you must pass the test again.

Table 5

The test is considered completed if you get 61 points or higher. If you get less than 61

Table 5 Converting the test result to a rating score based on the 100-point system

Number of mistakes made when	% of	completion Rating score
answering 100 test tasks	tasks	according to the 100-point
	the test task	system
0 - 9	91-100	91-100
10 - 19	81-90	81-90
20 - 29	71-80	71-80
30 - 39	61-70	61-70
≥ 40	0-60	0

# 4. Calculation of the final rating by discipline

The final grade for the discipline (Rd) is calculated using the formula:

$$Rd = (Rpre + Rpa) / 2$$

The final score calculated in the 100-point system is transferred to the "credited - not credited" system according to Table 6.

Final assessment of the discipline

Table 6

Score according to the 100-point system	Score according to the "credited - not credited"system	Оценка по ECTS
Score		A
95-91		В
90-81		С
80-76	100-96 Credited	D
75-71		
70-66		Е
65-61		
60-41	27 41 4	Fx
40-0	Not credited	F

Considered at the department meeting of Medical Rehabilitation and Sports Medicine protocol of «30» May 2025 г. №13.

Behafuf

Head of the Department E. G. Vershinin